

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,506	10/03/2003	David Snyder	81090361	2505	
32994	7590 02/15/2006		EXAMINER		
MILLER LAW GROUP, PLLC AND FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 25 STEVENS AVENUE			GUTMAN,	GUTMAN, HILARY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WEST LAW	/N, PA 19609	3612			
			DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006	DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/605,506	SNYDER, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN INO DATE AND	Hilary Gutman	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgonial in the common and the common a	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	nuary 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-11 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawaura in view of Tousi et al.

Kawaura (4,478,396) discloses in the prior art of Figure 1, a body mount assembly for mounting a mounting bracket of a flange of a first component to a second component, comprising an upper member 4 having one coefficient of elasticity and a lower member 5 having a different coefficient of elasticity or rather being a disparate elastomeric material. The upper and lower members each having central openings through which a fastener passes and to which a retainer member is coupled.

Kawaura shows the prior art of Figure 1 including an upper member and a lower member of two disparate elastomeric materials but lacks the upper member being micro cellular urethane.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,506

Art Unit: 3612

Tousi et al. (6,471,179) teach the use and desirability of micro cellular urethane for an isolation mount for a motor vehicle. The isolation mount includes an isolation member 12 (Figure 5). The isolation member 12 is made of a highly compliant material such as micro cellular urethane (MCU) and provides for higher vertical stiffness. In addition, the MCU permits tuning of the ride characteristics of a vehicle in the vertical mode direction (Col 5, lines 14-22).

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the upper member of the prior art of Kawaura from micro cellular urethane as taught by Tousi et al. in order to provide a higher vertical stiffness for the body mount assembly.

Kawaura shows the prior art of Figure 1 including an upper member and a lower member of two disparate elastomeric materials but lacks the lower member being natural or butyl rubber.

Tousi et al. (6,471,179) teach the use of natural or butyl rubber for a bushing member 50 (Figure 5). The rubber is desirable since it improves the stiffness rate in the lateral and fore and aft directions (Col 5, lines 51-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the lower member of the prior art of Kawaura from natural or butyl rubber as taught by Tousi et al. in order to improve the stiffness rate of the body mount assembly in the lateral and fore and aft directions.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/27/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Page 4

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 571-272-6662.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 571-272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hilary Gutman February 6, 2006